



Fitness (collectively “Defendants”) to submit sufficient proof to support subject-matter jurisdiction in this case under the CAFA, within seven days of the date of that Order.

4. On that same date, Plaintiff Marni Truglio (“Plaintiff”) filed a motion for reconsideration, in part, of this Court’s July 28, 2016 Order. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of the dismissal of her claim that Defendants violated the Defendants’ alleged failure to include a total payment obligation, in violation of Health Club Services Act (“HCSA”), N.J.S.A. 56:8-39 to -48, constitutes a violation of the New Jersey Truth-in-Consumer Contract, Warranty and Notice Act (“TCCWNA”), N.J.S.A. 56:12-14 to -18.

5. Clearly, the outcome of Plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration may affect the outcome of the subject-matter jurisdiction analysis under CAFA in the event that the Court grants the motion for reconsideration.

Accordingly, for good cause shown,

**IT IS** on this 12th day of August, 2016,

**ORDERED** that the Court’s August 11, 2016 Order [Dkt. No. 43] is stayed pending the Court’s decision on Plaintiff’s motion for reconsideration [Dkt. No. 41].

/s/ Freda L. Wolfson  
The Honorable Freda L. Wolfson  
United States District Judge